Qualification Goals (Learning Goals)

1.) The students are able to analyze problems in business, economics and social sciences and apply relevant theories and methods. (Competences 1, 2, 4) 2.) The students are able to present appropriate solutions for a problem in written form. (Competence 3)

Please just select category "1. exceed expectations" if the criteria from columns 2 and 1 are met

4. does not meet Subskills (Rubrics) Competences relevant for 3. meets expectations partly 2. meets expectations 1. exceed expectations expectations (Learning Objectives) The student is able to this thesis · clearly identifies and defines unable to identify a a problem/aim/working \circ problem/aim/working problem/aim/working 0 0 0 0 clearly identifies and defines assumption and shows assumption assumption is identified but define the problem/aim/working problem/aim/working awareness of other related unable to define the 1a vague problems assumption. assumption problem/aim/working relevance is not clearly proposed problem is relevant a clear focus is defined assumption shown/incomprehensible • the problem's relevance is relevance is not shown shown clearly explains the relevance of the explains the relevance of the explains the relevance of the unable to explain the problem, relations to extant problem, references to 0 0 problem but relations to extant 0 0 0 relevance of the problem literature are addressed existing literature become explain the relevance of the iterature are unclear unable to use relevant academic literature is mostly academic literatue is partly problem. uses relevant academic academic literature to used and important academic used but important academic situate/explain/describe findings are mentioned findings to support academic indings are missing 1.) The students are able the problem discourse to identify a relevant problem/aim/working contextualizes the problem assumption. contextualizes the problem in contextualizes the problem in in the academic discourse and the academic discourse and \circ 0 0 0 the academic discourse but contextualization is clear and contextualize the problem in the unable to contextualize contextualization is clear and contextualization is not coherent the problem in the academic discourse and/or coherent • uses relevant academic 1c coherent relate to current and relevant academic discourse academic literature is mostly academic literatue is partly findings to support academic academic literature. unable to use academic used and important academic used but important academic discourse findings are mentioned literature indings are missing uses state-of-the-art literature in the field outlines a theoretical clearly outlines and outlines and describes a unable to outline a framework but description is consistently describes a outline a (relevant) theoretical 0 \circ \circ relevant theoretical framework Ο 0 relevant theoretical incoherent and/or lacks in detai framework for the problem. relevant literature is relevant theoretical framework outlines a theoretical relevance to the problem is summarized unable to summarize ramework but relevance is not • relevance to the problem is clearly and coherently relevant literature clear explained explained and discussed results can be obtained with \circ 0 0 • design selected in a well- \bigcirc results can not be selected design methods/tools/theories are founded manner obtained with selected selected methods/tools/theories are described thoroughly methods/tools/theories are design • unable to explain use of described but not in detail · design can be understood described thoroughly to select and describe an methodology selects properly replicate the results 2a appropriate research design selected methods/tools/ methods/tools/theories which selected describes limitations of the seem adequate to solve the theories are inappropriate methods/tools/theories are developed design · design is unclear problem appropriate to solve the problem applies advanced applies standard methods problems using standard design needs more methods/tools and/or complex methods distinctiveness at times theories applies some standard methods unable to connect the design with theoretical • the connection between the \bigcirc \circ \circ 0 a connection between the design and the relevant theory establishes new and original viewpoints \bigcirc demonstrates lack of design and the relevant theory is clearly established links between selected design knowledge in subject is visible but it is only loosely · able to connect some and theoretical viewpoints link the design to the 2b important aspects but lacks different theoretical matter linked (theoretical) framework relevant aspects are some associations seem farperspective to apply them approaches are compared to missing thoroughly each other and linked to the important theoretical design approaches are missing the approach is described but not in detail/not approach is described \circ \bigcirc \circ \circ comprehensively approach can be understood \circ unable to describe and approach is not properly properly explain how the results comprehensively and coherently clearly discloses how the it is clearly and explained 2c describe how the results were were obtained results were obtained it is partly described, how the comprehensively described how no description of how the approach is accurately and obtained. results were obtained the results were obtained results were obtained consistently described some steps of the result query all important steps are are missing mentioned 2.) The students are able to analyze a relevant problem. clear links are drawn between • in discussing the results in conclusions are drawn in a discussion and 0 0 the discussion, the conclusion the context of the addressed \bigcirc conclusion are not rudimentary form and the problem addressed problem, new perspectives comprehensible and/or some conclusions seem far- discussion and conclusions are including implications are fetched but a discussion with unconvincing draw a (comprehensible) clearly based on the results introduced some impact is visible discussion and • implications of the results and 2d discussion and conclusion from • puts conclusions into a wider conclusion have not been implications of the results and esults. major limitations have been context major limitations have not been linked to the addressed discussed sufficiently limitations have been clearly problem nor to the results discussed sufficiently acknowledged unable to identify the partly unable to identify the able to identify the relevant perfectly able to identify the relevant data data with some minor dentify and obtain the relevant · demonstrates lack of partly shows lack of deficiencies relevant data empirical data to solve the knowledge of useful data knowledge of useful data shows good but not perfect demonstrates knowledge of problem. sources sources knowledge of useful data useful data sources sources incorrectly uses obtained the data obtained is uses the obtained data uses the obtained data sometimes used incorrectly data 0 without error without error partly unable to obtain sample • unable to obtain sample • uses data that is mostly free structure the empirical analysis • uses data that is free from data that are free from data that are free from from selection bias selection bias 2f in accordance with the intended selection biases selection biases research design. distinguishes well but not • perfectly differentiates unable to differentiate sometimes unable to between correlation and perfectly between correlation between correlation and distinguish between correlation and causality causality causality and causality sufficient understanding and full understanding and apply the methods for the methods are used but in a unable to correctly use 2g 0 mostly correct use of the correct use of the relevant nterpretation of legislation. methods wrong way relevant methodology methodology

Qualification Goals (Learning Goals)
1.) The students are able to analyze problems in business, economics and social sciences and apply relevant theories and methods. (Competences 1, 2, 4)
2.) The students are able to present appropriate solutions for a problem in written form. (Competence 3)

Please just select category "1. exceed expectations" if the criteria from columns 2 and 1 are met

| Competences (Learning Objectives) | | Subskills (Rubrics) The student is able to | 5. not relevant for this thesis | | 4. does not meet expectations | | 3. meets expectations partly | | 2. meets expectations | mom c | 1. exceed expectations |
|---|----|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|---|-------|---|
| 3.) The students are able to demonstrate academic writing skills. | 3a | use correct language and orthography. | 0 | 0 | serious mistakes in grammar misleading wording a lack of coherence impairs understanding inadequate language skills | 0 | mostly correct use of grammar not consistently comprehensible linguistic expressiveness not consistently satisfying | 0 | correct use of grammar understandable wording and phrasing adequate linguistic expressiveness | 0 | coherent syntactical structures excellent linguistic expressiveness sentence structure supports readability |
| | 3b | develop a clear and consistent structure (introduction, main part, conclusion). | 0 | 0 | structure is confusing or inconsistent unable to present a central theme some central chapters are missing (abstract, index, bibliography) | 0 | develops a structure of introduction, main part and conclusion structure is not fully comprehensible most of the required chapters are included (abstract, index, bibliography) | 0 | develops a clear and consistent structure of introduction, main part and conclusion structure mostly provides clear orientation all required chapters are included (abstract, index, bibliography) | 0 | coherent structur line of argumentation is visible through the structure |
| | 3с | apply citations/references in a systematic manner according to guidelines. | 0 | 0 | insufficient use of a citation/referencing system and style arguments are insufficiently supported by appropriate sources | 0 | consistent use of a citation/referencing system and style but there are formal mistakes references are regularly incomplete sources of arguments are not sufficiently clear | 0 | citation/ reference system and style applied correctly references are complete sources of arguments are given throughout | 0 | excellent use of citation and reference system/style sources of arguments are always appropriate and transparent |
| | 3d | use technical terminology correctly and appropriately. | 0 | 0 | misuse of technical terms | 0 | mostly correct use of technical terms but a some inconsistencies in their use | 0 | technical terms are consistently used in the right context relevant technical terms are defined | 0 | the relevant technical terms are used and defined correctly and discussed critically |
| | 3е | independently organize and implement individual work steps | 0 | | no independence, extensive support required no time management no independent planning and organization of the research process submitting poor work to most deadlines and not preparing for meetings supervisor's feedback not or insufficiently incorporated | | some independence, support required time management available for individual work steps individual work steps of the research process is organized independently submitting mediocre work to deadlines and is poorly prepared for meetings supervisor's feedback partially taken into account or incorporated | | independent implementation and good initiative good time management independent planning of the work steps of the research process submitting good work to deadlines and preparing well for meetings supervisor's feedback considered and sensibly incorporated | | reflected independent implementation and initiative very well structured time management well thought-out and independent planning of the work steps of the research process submitting very good work to deadlines and is very well prepared for meetings supervisor's feedback reflected and refuted or sensibly incorporated |
| 4.) The students are able to demonstrate computational skills. | 4a | master the software tools they use. | 0 | 0 | • insufficient ability to effectively use the software tools employed | 0 | partial ability to effectively use the software tools employed | 0 | some but not perfect proficiency in handling the software tools employed | 0 | • perfect proficiency in handling the software tools employed |
| | 4b | structure and document the code/spreadsheet. | 0 | 0 | written code/spreadsheet is insufficiently structured code/spreadsheet is insufficiently documented | 0 | written code/spreadsheet is basic structured code/spreadsheet is partly insufficiently documented | 0 | written code/spreadsheet is well-structured but not perfect code/spreadsheet is well- documented but not perfect | 0 | written code/spreadsheet is perfectly structured code/spreadsheet is perfectly documented |
| | 4c | search for literature both online and offline. | 0 | 0 | • insufficient (re-)search in the relevant online/offline media | 0 | • is able to find literature but insufficiently | 0 | a substantial amount of the most relevant literature was used | 0 | allmost all the relevant literature was used |