

## Chapter VI

### Habilitation proceedings

#### Habilitation Directive

**§ 38.** (1) The Senate shall issue a general directive on the procedure in habilitation proceedings. The directive must regulate the following matters, in particular:

1. Academic requirements for habilitation, taking into account special requirements for specific disciplines
2. Public habilitation lecture by the habilitation candidate before the Habilitation Committee and the academic staff of the department concerned and related departments before a *venia docendi* is awarded (habilitation colloquium)

(2) The requirements of (1) item 1 are to be adopted on the basis of proposals by the Department Committees or by Department Conventions.

#### Habilitation reviewers

**§ 39.** (1) Habilitation reviewers are appointed by the full professors in the Senate at the proposal of the full professors of the department or Convention that is responsible for the *venia docendi* applied for.

(2) When selecting a reviewer, care is to be taken that the reviewer does not have a close personal relationship with the habilitation candidate.

#### Habilitation Committee

**§ 40.** The Habilitation Committee consists of five representatives of the full professors, two representatives of the academic staff pursuant to § 94 (2) item 2 of the Universities Act 2002, and two representatives of the students.

#### Granting and expiration of the *venia docendi*

**§ 40a.** (1) The *venia docendi* is granted by the Rector's Council.

(2) The *venia docendi* expires

1. Following a written waiver sent to the Rector's Council
2. Following a revocation because of a conviction by an Austrian court that results in the loss of a civil servant's position pursuant to § 27 (1) of the Criminal Code (*StGB, Strafgesetzbuch*), Federal Law Gazette no. 60/1974, as amended. The *venia docendi* is revoked by official notification by the Rector's Council.

(3) The expiration of the *venia docendi* must be published in the WU Bulletin.