Institut "Economics of Inequality" Opening Conference September 2015

Inequality – What can be Done?

A B Atkinson

"We are suffering just now from a bad attack of economic pessimism" – J M Keynes, 1930



1. The reasons for concern

- 2. The economics of inequality
- 3. Proposals: Taxation and welfare state
- 4. Proposals: Employment and wages
- 5. Proposals: Capital and wealth
- 6. Assessment: what can be done?



Inequality of what among whom?

Total household gross income

Total household disposable income

Earnings of Person 1 + Earnings of Person 2 + Income from Capital + State transfers Direct taxes = Disposable income Number of equivalent adults _ Household equivalent disposable income

Individual earnings

Wealth of the household

3



Income inequality compared



Institute for **New Economic Thinking**

OXFORD

Source: LIS Key Statistics AT THE OXFORD MARTIN SCHOOL

Income inequality and poverty since 1960

Institute for

OXFORD



Source: OECD database and Chartbook of Economic Inequality New Economic Thinking AT THE OXFORD MARTIN SCHOOL

Wealth and income inequality



Source: OECD database, Chartbook of Economic Inequality and

Institute for New Economic Thinking AT THE OXFORD MARTIN SCHOOL HOUSEHOLD FIN

OXFORD

Household Finance and Consumption Survey (Mooslechner, 2013)

1. The reasons for concern

2. The economics of inequality

- 3. Proposals: Taxation and welfare state
- 4. Proposals: Employment and wages
- 5. Proposals: Capital and wealth
- 6. Assessment: what can be done?



Many forces in operation

2. Jobs and pay

3. Ownership and transmission of wealth

1. Welfare state and taxation

Institute for New Economic Thinking At THE OXFORD MARTIN SCHOOL

Earnings of Person 1 + Earnings of Person 2 + Income from Capital Private transfers + State transfers Direct taxes Disposable income Number of equivalent adults Household equivalent

disposable income

- 1. The reasons for concern
- 2. The economics of inequality
- 3. Proposals: Taxation and welfare state
- 4. Proposals: Employment and wages
- 5. Proposals: Capital and wealth
- 6. Assessment: what can be done?



Proposals: Taxing more

- Return to a more progressive rate structure for the income tax, with increasing marginal rates of tax up to a top rate of 65 per cent, accompanied by a broadening of the tax base.
- Introduce into the personal income tax an Earned Income Discount, limited to the first tranche of earnings.
- Tax inheritance with a progressive lifetime capital receipts tax.



Proposals: Spending more

- A substantial child benefit should be paid for all children, and taxed as income, as part of an EU-wide basic income for children.
- EITHER A participation (citizen's) income should be introduced for adults, complementing existing social protection, and taking account of differences in needs.
- OR Restore social insurance to reduce dependence on means-tested benefits.
- Rich countries should raise their target for Official Development Assistance to 1 per cent of Gross National Income.



- 1. The reasons for concern
- 2. The economics of inequality
- 3. Proposals: Taxation and welfare state

4. Proposals: Employment and wages

- 5. Proposals: Capital and wealth
- 6. Assessment: what can be done?



Proposals: employment and wages

- Governments should adopt an explicit target for reducing unemployment (as there is a target for inflation), and offer guaranteed public employment.
- There should be a national pay policy: with the minimum wage set at the Living Wage, and a code of practice for pay above the minimum.
- The direction of technological change should be an explicit concern of policy-makers, encouraging innovation in a form that increases the employability of workers, emphasising the human dimension of service provision.



- 1. The reasons for concern
- 2. The economics of inequality
- 3. Proposals: Taxation and welfare state
- 4. Proposals: Employment and wages
- 5. Proposals: Capital and wealth
- 6. Assessment: what can be done?



Proposals: Capital and wealth

- Introduce a distributional dimension into competition policy, and ensure a legal framework that allows trade unions to represent workers on level terms in negotiations such as those concerning TTIP.
- The government should offer via national savings bonds a guaranteed positive real rate of interest on savings, with a maximum holding per person.
- There should be a capital endowment (minimum inheritance) paid to all at adulthood, financed by the wealth transfer tax.
- Creation of a public Investment Authority, operating a sovereign wealth fund to build up the state net worth.
 Institute for Medicine Commit Thinking and the state of the stat

- 1. The reasons for concern
- 2. The economics of inequality
- 3. Proposals: Taxation and welfare state
- 4. Proposals: Employment and wages
- 5. Proposals: Capital and wealth
- 6. Assessment: what can be done?



Are these proposals "off-the-wall"?

SUPPORTERS:

Competition policy and distribution: US Senator Sherman

Public Employment Programme: US Humphrey-Hawkins Act 1978

Living wage: UK Premier League football clubs

Lifetime capital receipts tax: J S Mill

Minimum inheritance: Thomas Paine and previous UK Labour Government

Sovereign wealth fund: Boris Johnson, Conservative Mayor of London

Participation (Citizen's) Income: James Tobin and Milton Friedman



Are these proposals debatable? YES!

a) Objection: "The equity/efficiency trade-off means that national income/growth will be reduced".

Response: standard economic models tend to exclude the ways in which equity and efficiency can be complementary, and ignore the safeguards introduced in the institutional design of redistributive policies.

b) Objection: "In a globalized economy, one country cannot pursue such a path".

Response: countries are not simply passive agents in the face of world developments.

a) Objection: "We cannot afford it".

Response: Costed programmes for the UK where the tax and transfer elements would reduce the Gini coefficient and the rate of poverty by 4 percentage points.

