

Series Editor: Michael Lang

Editors: Georg Kofler, Michael Lang, Pasquale Pistone, Alexander Rust,
Josef Schuch, Karoline Spies, Claus Staringer

Exemption Method and Credit Method

The Application of Article 23 of the OECD Model

24

European and International
Tax Law and Policy Series

Exemption Method and Credit Method

Why this book?

The method article of any tax treaty plays an essential role in avoiding juridical double taxation. It determines the extent to which the residence state refrains from taxing an item of income if both contracting states may tax according to the distributive rules of the treaty. Calculating the respective relief can be challenging, as the wording of both articles 23A and 23B of the OECD Model leaves significant room for interpretation. In the European Union, such interpretation is made even more difficult because the application of the method article needs to comply with EU law, in particular with the fundamental freedoms and the State aid rules. Finally, the method article of the OECD Model has – in the aftermath of the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting Project – undergone adaptations, the impact of which requires further analysis.

This book aims to provide an in-depth analysis of all the current issues related to the application of articles 23A and 23B of the OECD Model. The topics discussed include:

- the method article and unilateral measures to avoid double taxation;
- the method article and allocation conflicts;
- conflicts of qualification under articles 23A(1) and 23B(1) of the OECD Model;
- the credit method and different taxes on income and on capital;
- the exemption method with proviso safeguarding progression; and
- relief from double taxation and EU State aid law

Title:	Exemption Method and Credit Method
Date of publication:	December 2022
ISBN:	978-90-8722-799-9 (print), 978-90-8722-800-2 (ePub), 978-90-8722-801-9 (PDF)
Type of publication:	Book
Number of pages:	396
Terms:	Shipping fees apply. Shipping information is available on our website
Price (print/online):	EUR 115 / USD 140 (VAT excl.)
Price (eBook: ePub or PDF):	EUR 92 / USD 112 (VAT excl.)

Order information

To order the book, please visit www.ibfd.org/shop/book. You can purchase a copy of the book by means of your credit card, or on the basis of an invoice. Our books encompass a wide variety of topics, and are available in one or more of the following formats:

- IBFD Print books
- IBFD eBooks – downloadable on a variety of electronic devices
- IBFD Online books – accessible online through the IBFD Tax Research Platform

Exemption Method and Credit Method

The Application of Article 23 of the OECD Model

Editors:

Georg Kofler

Michael Lang

Pasquale Pistone

Alexander Rust

Josef Schuch

Karoline Spies

Claus Staringer



Volume 24

WU Institute for Austrian and International Tax Law
European and International Tax Law and Policy Series

Table of Contents

Preface		1
Chapter 1	Method Article and Unilateral Measures to Avoid Double Taxation	3
	<i>Alexander Rust and Joy Waruguru Ndubai</i>	
1.1.	Introduction	3
1.2.	Avoidance of double taxation through unilateral relief	4
1.2.1.	Methods of unilateral relief	4
1.2.2.	History of unilateral relief	6
1.2.2.1.	Exemption	6
1.2.2.2.	Credit	6
1.2.3.	When does unilateral relief apply?	7
1.3.	Avoidance of double taxation through treaties	8
1.3.1.	The effect of distributive rules	10
1.3.2.	Application and effect of the method article	11
1.3.2.1.	Avoidance of residual double taxation	11
1.3.2.2.	Articles 23A and 23B	12
1.4.	Comparison between unilateral relief and treaty relief	15
1.4.1.	Key differences between unilateral measures and the method article	16
1.4.1.1.	Advantages of unilateral relief	16
1.4.1.2.	Advantages of treaty relief	17
1.5.	Are tax treaties the most effective means of eliminating double taxation?	18
1.6.	Conclusion	19
Chapter 2	Method Article and Allocation Conflicts	21
	<i>Xiangdan Luo and Claus Staringer</i>	
2.1.	Introduction	21
2.2.	The issue of income allocation conflicts	23
2.2.1.	The origin	23

2.2.2.	The triggers	26
2.3.	The application of tax conventions in income allocation conflicts cases: The resident state facet	28
2.4.	The application of article 23 to income allocation conflicts	32
2.4.1.	Economic double taxation and juridical double taxation: Is there a boundary?	32
2.4.2.	Principles proposed by the Partnership Report	39
2.4.3.	Treatment according to the OECD Model (2017) and the UN Model (2017)	43
2.5.	Conclusion	47
Chapter 3	Conflicts of Qualification under Articles 23A(1) and 23B(1)	49
	<i>François Barreau and Rita Julien</i>	
3.1.	Introduction	49
3.2.	Conflicts of qualification and articles 23A and 23B	52
3.2.1.	The key phrase of paragraph 1 of articles 23A and 23B	52
3.2.2.	Conflicts of qualification: A brief overview	54
3.2.3.	Conflicts of qualification: A fine line	60
3.2.4.	A longstanding and ongoing debate	65
3.3.	The impact of the 2017 changes to the OECD and UN Models	70
3.3.1.	Preventing non-taxation under the model	70
3.3.2.	Expanding source state taxation rights	74
3.3.3.	Addressing hybrid entities and conflicts of qualification	77
3.4.	The search for solutions to conflicts of qualification	85
3.4.1.	Substantive solutions	85
3.4.2.	Interpretive solutions	89
3.4.3.	Procedural solutions	93
3.5.	Conclusion	95

Chapter 4	Conflicts of Qualification under Article 23A(4)	99
	<i>Vera Hellebrandt</i>	
4.1.	Article 23A(4) of the OECD Model: A finished discussion?	99
4.2.	Conflicts of qualification under article 23A(1) of the OECD Model	101
4.2.1.	The “new approach” according to the OECD	101
4.2.2.	Criticism of the “new approach”	102
4.3.	Conflicts of qualification under article 23A(4) of the OECD Model	103
4.3.1.	Qualification conflicts covered by article 23A(4)	103
4.3.2.	Criticism of article 23A(4)	105
4.3.3.	Procedural aspects of article 23A(4)	108
4.4.	The complexity behind the phrase, “the other contracting state applies”	110
4.5.	Reception of article 23A(4) of the OECD Model	115
4.5.1.	Article 23A(4) of the OECD Model in tax treaties	115
4.5.2.	Article 23A(4) of the OECD Model in the context of the BEPS Project	116
4.5.3.	Article 23A(4) of the UN Model	118
4.6.	Concluding remarks	119
Chapter 5	Notion of “Tax” under the Credit Method	123
	<i>Siddhesh Rao</i>	
5.1.	Introduction	123
5.2.	Characteristics of tax for claiming credit under article 23B	124
5.2.1.	Relation of article 23B to article 2	124
5.2.2.	Compulsory payment to claim credit	124
5.2.3.	Unrequited payment to claim credit	125
5.2.4.	Effective payment to claim credit	126
5.2.5.	Undisputed payment to claim credit	126

5.3.	Tax credit problems	127
5.3.1.	Credit in the case of the taxpayer neglecting to seek a refund	127
5.3.2.	Credit in the case of incorrect assessment in the source state	129
5.3.3.	Credit in the case of choices offered under the domestic law of the source state	131
5.3.4.	Credit in the case of prohibitions in the statute of limitations of the residence state	134
5.4.	Conclusion	135
Chapter 6	Credit Method and Maximum Tax Credit <i>Valentin Bendlinger</i>	137
6.1.	How much credit is the residence state obliged to give under tax treaties?	137
6.2.	Limitation of foreign tax credit in treaty history: The origins of foreign tax credit limitations	138
6.2.1.	Domestic US tax legislation and foreign tax credit	138
6.2.2.	League of nations	139
6.2.3.	OEEC/OECD Model	141
6.3.	Prerequisites for the foreign tax credit under article 23B of the OECD Model	142
6.3.1.	Resident person	142
6.3.2.	Income derived and capital owned	143
6.3.3.	“May be taxed” in the source state as a reference to open distributive rules	148
6.3.3.1.	Non-exclusive taxing right of the source state	148
6.3.3.2.	Exclusive taxing right of the residence state or source state	149
6.4.	Maximum tax credit	151
6.4.1.	Double limitation of residence state’s obligation to give credit: Tax paid and maximum deduction	151
6.4.2.	Limitation by maximum deduction	153
6.4.2.1.	Rule and general mode of operation: The formula and its assumptions	153
6.4.2.2.	Residence state tax on total income	156

6.4.2.3.	Part of the income or capital tax that is attributable to the income or capital that “may be taxed” in the other state	157
6.4.2.3.1.	Residence state tax on income received or capital owned at source	157
6.4.2.3.2.	Determination of income that may be taxed at source	160
6.4.2.4.	Income aggregation for the calculation of the maximum deduction: “Overall”, “per country” or “per item” approach?	180
6.4.2.4.1.	The conceptual differences and their relevance for the maximum deduction	180
6.4.2.4.2.	Article 23B(1) of the OECD Model: Implicit per-country approach and its implications	182
6.4.2.4.3.	Per-item approach required under article 23A(2) of the OECD Model?	185
6.5.	Excess foreign tax credit: Carry-forward of foreign tax credit obligatory under treaty law?	185
6.5.1.	Outline of the problem arising from excess foreign credits	185
6.5.2.	Article 23B(1) or article 23A(2) of the OECD Model as legal basis for a carry-forward of foreign tax credit?	186
6.6.	Conclusion	188
Chapter 7	Credit Method and Different Taxes on Income and on Capital	191
	<i>Michael Lang and Cristian Camilo Rodriguez Peña</i>	
7.1.	The problem to be solved	191
7.2.	Current state of the debate	192
7.2.1.	Multiple taxes in the source or in the residence state	192
7.2.2.	Operation of the credit when there are multiple taxes in the residence state: Prioritization of specific taxes?	196
7.3.	Taxes on income versus taxes on capital	198
7.3.1.	The interpretation of article 23B(1) of the OECD Model	198
7.3.2.	How to distinguish between “taxes on income” and “taxes on capital”	201

7.4.	The application of the credit method to multiple taxes	204
7.4.1.	The application of the credit method to multiple taxes: Same items of income	204
7.4.2.	The application of the credit method to multiple taxes: Different items of income	206
7.5.	Conclusion	208
Chapter 8	Tax Sparing <i>Pasquale Pistone and Belisa Ferreira Liotti</i>	211
8.1.	Introduction to tax sparing: Main features and source of issues	211
8.2.	Over 60 years of debates on tax sparing	214
8.2.1.	From 1957 to the beginning of the 21st century: Old arguments to a renewed debate	215
8.2.2.	1998: The focus on abuse	222
8.2.3.	New ideas for a new century	224
8.3.	Embracing the new reality: Tax sparing in the BEPS aftermath	231
8.3.1.	Not an aid tool, but a sovereignty tool	231
8.3.2.	Are studies on the impact of tax treaties and tax incentives on FDI accurate?	233
8.3.3.	A fairer approach regarding source countries' sovereignty	235
8.3.4.	Hidden tax protectionism vs. international tax fairness	237
8.4.	The future of tax sparing under the GloBE proposal	240
8.4.1.	Relevant premises of the BEPS Project	240
8.4.2.	The GloBE proposal under Pillar Two: An anti-BEPS measure?	242
8.4.3.	GloBE and jurisdiction not to tax: A sovereignty issue	248
8.4.4.	The impact on tax sparing	250
8.4.5.	Hidden tax protectionism and other incompatibilities	253
8.4.6.	(How) can GloBE be made compatible with tax sparing?	256
8.5.	Conclusion	262

Chapter 9	Exemption Method with Proviso Safeguarding Progression	265
	<i>Karoline Spies and Philipp Walter Scharizer</i>	
9.1.	Introduction	265
9.2.	Aim of the proviso safeguarding progression	267
9.3.	Application and functioning of the proviso safeguarding progression	269
9.4.	History	274
9.4.1.	Drafts of models from the League of Nations	274
9.4.2.	The first OECD Model with the exemption method with safeguarding progression (1963)	275
9.4.3.	The OECD Model (1977): Development into a standalone paragraph in article 23	276
9.5.	Constitutive or declarative nature of the proviso safeguarding progression in a tax treaty	277
9.5.1.	The common effect of the proviso safeguarding progression	277
9.5.2.	Tax treaty with a limited proviso safeguarding progression	279
9.5.3.	Tax treaty with a proviso safeguarding progression based on the OECD Model (1963) or earlier	281
9.5.4.	Conclusion	282
9.6.	Source state: Permitted or prohibited to apply proviso safeguarding progression?	282
9.6.1.	The proviso safeguarding progression in the source state in the context of the tax treaty	282
9.6.2.	The proviso safeguarding progression in the source state in the light of the OECD Commentary	283
9.6.3.	The proviso safeguarding progression in the source state according to the literature and case law	284
9.6.4.	Conclusion	287
9.7.	Limits by tax treaty law for the application of a domestic proviso safeguarding progression	287
9.7.1.	Scope	287

9.7.2.	Legal consequences	292
9.7.2.1.	Tax rate versus amount of tax	292
9.7.2.2.	Negative proviso safeguarding progression	293
9.7.2.3.	Taking into account the “exempt income or capital”	295
9.7.3.	Other limitations by tax treaty law?	296
9.8.	Conclusion	297
Chapter 10	Exemption Method and Domestic Law	299
	<i>Theres Neumüller</i>	
10.1.	Introduction	299
10.2.	Relevance of the terms “income” and “capital” in article 23A of the OECD Model	301
10.3.	The allocation of costs	304
10.3.1.	Allocation to the distributive rules	304
10.3.2.	Allocation to residence state and source state	311
10.4.	The allocation of personal benefits to residence state and source state	313
10.5.	The treatment of losses under the exemption method	317
10.6.	Conclusion	320
Chapter 11	Method Article, Secondary EU Legislation and the Fundamental Freedoms	323
	<i>Nicholas Pacher</i>	
11.1.	Introduction	323
11.2.	The method article and secondary EU legislation	325
11.3.	The method article and the fundamental freedoms	330
11.3.1.	Introduction	330
11.3.2.	An overview of the potential areas of conflict between the method article and the fundamental freedoms	332

11.3.3.	The method article and unrelieved actual juridical double taxation	334
11.3.3.1.	Juridical double taxation and the non-applicability of the exemption or credit method: A restriction of the fundamental freedoms?	334
11.3.3.2.	Limited relief of juridical double taxation under the method article as a restriction?	338
11.3.4.	The method article and the consideration of foreign losses	347
11.3.5.	The method article and its effect on the taxation of domestic income: The proviso safeguarding progression	351
11.3.6.	The method article and potential horizontal restrictions	355
11.3.6.1.	Relief versus no relief from juridical double taxation	355
11.3.6.2.	Relief by means of credit versus by means of exemption	357
11.3.7.	The method article as a tool to offset restrictions attributable to the source state	359
11.4.	Conclusion	360
Chapter 12	Relief from Double Taxation and EU State Aid Law	363
	<i>Georg Kofler</i>	
12.1.	Introduction	363
12.2.	Avoidance of double taxation	363
12.3.	Double-non taxation	367
	List of Contributors	375

Preface

If the application of the distributive rules of a tax treaty following the OECD Model Tax Convention (OECD Model) does not suffice to avoid juridical double taxation, the method article of the treaty requires the residence state to provide relief. To what extent such relief is granted chiefly depends on the method applied by the residence state to that effect. Articles 23A and 23B of the OECD Model include the two most prominent methods to avoid juridical double taxation: the exemption with progression method and the ordinary credit method. Since the wording of both articles is rather broad, the calculation of the relief requires a comprehensive interpretation of the method article in light of the rest of the treaty and, in some respects, also a recourse to the domestic law of the residence state.

So far, little research has focused on the details of the calculation of double taxation relief according to articles 23A and 23B of the OECD Model. In addition, the impact of the changes to the wording of both articles in the course of the 2017 OECD Model update following the Base Erosion and Profit Shifting Project combined with the changes to the Commentary on the OECD Model requires a closer scientific examination.

In order to address these matters alongside other important and current issues related to the application of article 23 of the OECD Model, the 28th Viennese Symposium on International Tax Law was held on 14 June 2021. Given the current situation regarding COVID-19, the symposium was held physically at WU (Vienna University of Economics and Business) as well as online. Professors from Austrian and foreign universities, tax researchers from WU and tax experts from various countries participated in the symposium. The speakers have since completed papers using input received during the symposium, and these papers have become the chapters of this book. Each author offers an in-depth analysis, along with the most recent scientific research on their topic.

The editors would like to thank Hedwig Pfanner, Karina Hertle and Nicholas Pacher, who were the main people responsible for the organization of the symposium and who made essential contributions to the preparation and publication of this book. The editors would also like to thank all of the authors who have patiently revised their contributions in order to enhance the quality of the book, and Dr Julianne Stewart-Sandgren, who contributed greatly with her linguistic editing of the authors' texts.

Above all, our sincere thanks goes to the publishing house at IBFD for agreeing to include this publication in its catalogue.

Georg Kofler
Michael Lang
Pasquale Pistone
Alexander Rust
Josef Schuch
Karoline Spies
Claus Staringer