

Monetary versus non-monetary rewards in loyalty programs: Perceived attractiveness under different involvement context

> Ruta Ruzeviciute, Bernadette Kamleitner Vienna University of Economics and Business

## Abstract

The aim of the paper is to explore the relation between loyalty program rewards' monetarism and their attractiveness and to examine the role of involvement played there. Study 1 demonstrates that in the absence of specific context monetary rewards are perceived to be more attractive. Study 2 demonstrates that involvement moderates this trend.

# Key words:

- LOYALTY PROGRAM (LP)
- INVOLVEMENT
- MONETARY & NON-MONETARY LOYALTY REWARDS

# Background

- Loyalty program (LP) success depends on its actual execution (Meyer-Waarden & Benavent, 2006)
- 3/4 of LP members were not-satisfied with incentives they got (Mimouni & Volle, 2003)
- Finding appropriate rewards is of prime importance

## **Research questions**

- 1. How monetary are rewards perceived?
- 2. Whether and when are monetary rewards perceived as more attractive than nonmonetary rewards?

## **Problems & important considerations**

- Scarce knowledge on rewards effectiveness
- No unified reward categorization, prevailing dichotomization in reward categories
- Rewards' assignment to specific category intuitive (e.g. Tangible/Intangible, Soft/Hard)
- One categorization dimension is <u>monetarism of reward</u>: no terminological consistency
- General proneness to financial stimuli (Blattberg & Neslin, 1993) -> Monetary reward preferred more?
- Involvement is related to loyalty and LP efficiency (Yi & Jeon, 2003)



# Study 1: Survey

- Aim:
  - 1. To explore perceived monetarism of loyalty rewards
  - 2. To explore whether monetarism predicts attractiveness of LP rewards
- *Participants*: 31 postgraduate (UK)
- *Procedure & measures*: Request to rate loyalty rewards along perceived monetarism & attractiveness in context free questionnaire
  - Rated rewards were screened from 10 major LPs operating in the UK
  - 5 point scales (1 purely non-monetary / very unattractive)

#### Results

How are rewards perceived?



## **Study 2: Experiment**

- Aim: To explore whether context (product category involvement) changes attractiveness of LP rewards
- Participants: 124 postgraduates (UK)



Is monetarism of rewards related to their perceived attractiveness?



Does context influence attractiveness of rewards?

MANOVA

 $\bullet$ 

-main effect of context F(4, 117) = 3.90, p< .01,  $\eta^2$  = .12 -no main effect of reward monetarism

-interaction between context and type of program, F(4, 117) = 11.44, p< .001,  $\eta^2 = .28$ 



REWARD



Monetarism predicts attractiveness in absence of context



• Context changes the perception of loyalty rewards' attractiveness

## **Conclusion & Contribution:**

- Monetarism of loyalty rewards is perceived as continuous construct
- Monetarism predicts attractiveness in absence of context
- **Context changes attractiveness of rewards**
- -In low involvement context preference for monetary rewards -In high involvement context preference for non-monetary rewards

# **Main References**

Dowling, G., & Uncles, M. (1997). Do customer loyalty programs really work. *Sloan Management Review*, 38(4), 71-82.

Meyer-Waarden, & Benavent, C. (2006). The impact of loyalty programmes on repeat purchase behaviour. Journal of Marketing Management, 22(1/2), 61-88. Suh, J.C., & Yi, Y. (2012). Do Consumption Goals Matter? The Effects of Online Loyalty Programs in the Satisfaction-Loyalty Relation. *Psychology and Marketing, 29*(8), 549-557. Yi, Y., & Jeon, H. (2003). Effects of loyalty programs on value perception, program loyalty and brand loyalty. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 31*(3), 229-240.

> Contact: Ruta Ruzeviciute E-mail: <u>ruta.ruzeviciute@wu.ac.at</u> E-poster: www.wu.ac.at/mcore/

European Marketing Academy Conference, EMAC Istanbul, June 4th-8th, 2013